# HURLY-BURLY

\_ S

Lacan's Siberiethic The letter! The litter! and the souther the bitter... The Real in the 21" Century Hypermodern Times

#### Éric Laurent

# Who Will Look After the Children?

The following paper was originally published in Lacan Quotidien no. 270. This modified version has been taken from the pamphlet published by the Lacan Institute Du marriage et des psychanalystes, Ed. M. de França and E. Miller-Rose, La Règle du Jeu/Navarin/Le Champ freudien, 2013. Éric Laurent is a former President of the World Association of Psychoanalysis and an Analyst Member of the New Lacanian School.

HE Declaration of the 13 January "against the instrumentalisation of psychoanalysis", that we have just signed following hostile demonstrations against the proposed law on "marriage for all" and modifications of filial standards to come, mentions that "it is up to each speaking being to find the paths of their desire, which are for each one singular, twisted and marked by contingency and bad encounters." It takes into account the general twists in what constitutes communication for the speaking animal.

To seek in psychoanalytic experience and in Lacan's teaching the guarantee of an "anthropological invariant" is particularly twisted however. It is quite simply a misreading, an enslavement of psychoanalysis for conservative ends, erasing Lacan's whole questioning of the "Names-of-the-Father" in the plural. From the outset, Lacan's aim was to extend the domain of the Name-of-the-Father in the context of divorced families in the '30s - such as his own!

#### Divorce and t

T is absura to s Petain, in his is contribution of the quarantor of an in and reconstructe finds himself er r difference.

#### Desire and Re

LACAN Was not ties." He rather L complex to of the including equa. work later made beyond sexual a ? moment it sens Ultimate., Li "social dec. re "restorative cer father. According anthropolog ca. g a singular des re claim to befine to accepted - secar incarnates the t. In this was Li who, like a cheer

#### Looking Afte

had indeed restor

of filiation. This

"marriage" and

HIRTY years late same vein that th Those who as an in the wrong way

# Children?

eser Guotidien <mark>no. 270. This</mark> . z. shed by the Lacan Institute a and E.Miller-Rose, La Règle nt is a former President of the Verser of the New Lacanian

the instrumentalisation of ng nost le demonstrations and modifications of filial n sceaking being to find the Lish this sted and marked by unt the deneral twists in what

car is teaching the <mark>guarantee</mark> ted no wever. It is quite simply in conservative ends, erasing ather in the plural. From the e '.ame-of-the-Father in the

#### Divorce and the Oedipus Complex

 $I_{ extsf{T}}$  is absurd to state that he who, as early as 1938, before the restoration of Petain, in his "Family Complexes..." in the plural, critically evaluated the contribution of the Freudian "Oedipus Complex", now finds himself dragged in as guarantor of an immutable order. He who pleaded for the complexity of divorced and reconstructed families, noting that they were "a training for reason", now finds himself enrolled as guarantor of a single form of representation of sexual difference.

#### Desire and Re-constituted Families

 $oldsymbol{\mathsf{L}}_{\mathsf{ACAN}}$  was "not one of those who aggrieved the supposed loosening of family ties." He rather underlined that this so-called "loosening" was in fact a growing complexity of ties through an incorporation of "the highest cultural progress", including equal rights between man and woman. The further development of his work later made woman into another Name-of-the-Father, affirming equality beyond sexual difference. I will develop this point in other chronicles, but for the moment it is enough to underline this complex equality.

Ultimately, Lacan related the birth of psychoanalysis to the context of the "social decline of the paternal imago". This on no account legitimised a "restorative" perspective, but rather an accounting for the veritable place of the father. According to Freud, re-read in the right way, the "father" was not an anthropological guarantor; he rather incarnated the transgressive character of a singular desire in the face of commonly accepted moral imperatives, which claim to define how to live the drive. The father - through his desire, if it is accepted - separates the mother from her exclusive relation to the child. He incarnates the plurality of jouissances.

In this way, Lacan based on Freudian reasoning the fate of divorced parents who, like pioneers, broke with the moral order. In judicial institutions, divorce had indeed restored the primacy of the couple's choice over family order and that of filiation. This is what appears clearly in English in the opposition between "marriage" and "kinship".

#### Looking After the Objects (a)!

HIRTY years later, post 1968, in his "Note sur l'enfant", Lacan specifies in the same vein that the father gives a name to an "incarnation of the Law in desire". Those who go on at us about the "anthropological invariant" read this statement in the wrong way. They understand it as a "sublimation of desire in the Law" that, for good measure, is presumed natural. In other words, they read the function of the father as a normalisation of desire. The mother is the drive, the father the Law. This reading is absurd. The discrepancy, the difference in question here is that the Name-of-the-Father, a function that can be held by a woman, invents a way of looking after the mother's children, her "objects (a)", so as to inscribe them in an immanent common law. What was dated in 1968 should now be brought up to date with the LGBT couples.

#### **Future Debates**

 $\mathbf{O}_{\mathsf{UR}}$  Declaration marks a beginning. After the vote on the law on "marriage for all", and up until the examination of family Law, numerous debates will take place throughout French society. The battle over the father will go on. This will be an opportunity to clarify our position. Of what is the father an incarnation? Of a monotone invariant, or the name of an invention of the way in which a given time lives the contingency of the relationship between the sexes?

Translated from the French by Natalie Wülfing

# **Contents**

Editorial		
Victoria Woollard	By Saint Hurlyburly!	9
Lacan's Siberiethic		
• Jacques Lacan	On a Function that is Not to Be Written - The Seminar of Jacques Lacan, Book XVIII, Chapter VI	15
• Jacques Lacan	Lituraterre	29
• Jacques-Alain Miller	L'or à gueule of Lituraterre	39
• Éric Laurent	The Purloined Letter and The Tao of The Psychoanalyst	51
• Éric Laurent & Jacques-Alain Miller	Communication and Belief in Japan	78
Knottings		
Dominique Holvoet	The Knottings Seminars in the NLS	95
Alexandre Stevens	Delusion and Supplementation	97
Alfredo Zenoni	What Becomes of Psychosis Beyond the Œdipus?	105
• Despina Andropoulou	Mass Democracy and Cannabis Use in Adolescence	119
• Helen Molari	Ulysses or the Artist and his Object	126
• Dossia Avdelidi	Limited Foreclosure - Generalised Foreclosure	134
• Valérie Pera Guillot	The Ordinariness of the Psychotic Subject: From Disbelief to the Forced Invention	139
Beatriz Premazzi	A Common Name	148
Gabriela Giuggioloni and Dinorah Otero	"Universal Clinic of Delusion" and Women	151

#### The Couch

- Bruncice -a ela
- Marle-Hellene Blands
- Bernard Portrara:

### The letter: The mer.

- Claud a ddar
- James Show den
- Mare-Helere Englis

# The Rea in the 217 Ca

- Jacques-4 a e-
- Sophie Marrer-1 : a
- Laure Naveau

## Hypermodern Thes

- Jacques-4,a n 🤲 er
- Yves Decelsensine
- Pierre-G ..es 3 Legue
- Éric Laurent

		The Couch		
,	9	• Bruno de Halleux	Twingo	159
		<ul> <li>Marie-Hélène Blancard</li> </ul>	Taking Jouissance to the Letter	164
- Nietze De Weitten		<ul> <li>Bernard Porcheret</li> </ul>	The Drive is Voracious	170
s Not to Be Written - caues Lacan, Book XVIII,	15	The letter! The litter! And	the soother the bitter	
	29	• Claudia Iddan	The Unconscious in Photography - The Dialectical Image	179
raterne er and The Tao of	39	James Snowden	Freud and the Desire of the Psychoanalyst, by Serge Cottet	186
e and the factor	51	• Marie-Hélène Brousse	Feminine Homosexuality in the Plural, or When Hysterics Do Without	
d Belief in <b>Japan</b>	78		their Straw Men	189
		The Real in the 21st Centur	у	
nars in the NLS	95	<ul> <li>Jacques-Alain Miller</li> </ul>	The Real in the 21st Century	199
.ementation	97	<ul> <li>Sophie Marret-Malval</li> </ul>	Lacan's Henology: from Ontology to the Ontic	207
Psychosis Beyond		• Laure Naveau	Anxiety, Signal of the Real	213
	105			
no Cannabis <b>Use</b>		Hypermodern Times		
	119	<ul> <li>Jacques-Alain Miller</li> </ul>	Homosexual Marriage: Forgetting Nature	223
st and hi <b>s Object</b> re -	126	• Yves Depelsenaire	Lévi-Strauss Confronts the Homosexual Couple	226
.osure	134	• Pierre-Gilles Guéguen	Light and Shadows on a Case of Gay Bashing	228
of the <b>Psychotic Subject:</b> the Forced <b>Invention</b>	139	• Éric Laurent	Who Will Look After the Children?	236
	148			
f Delusion" and Women	151			