## TRANSLATOR'S NOTES

## Chapter I The Small Difference

1 Grammarians classify pire strictly as an adjective. The corresponding adverb is pis, and the comparative form plus mal. However, since pis has become increasingly outmoded, the erroneous adverbial use of pire has been on the rise. When in the following paragraph Lacan qualifies the adverb as disjoint, he may have in mind the 'disjunct adverb' (usually termed a modalisateur in French).
2 As Bertrand Russell notes in his 1908 article on 'Mathematical Logic as Based on the Theory of Types' (in J. van Heijenoort (ed.), From Frege to Gödel: A Source Book in Mathematical Logic, 1879-1931, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1967, p. 157n), the term variable apparente had been coined five years previously by Giuseppe Peano in the fourth volume of Formulaire de mathématiques. The term has since been largely superseded by David Hilbert's term 'bound variable', though some have contested their equation (e.g., Montgomery Furth in his Introduction to Frege, G., The Basic Laws of Arithmetic: Exposition of the System, translated by M. Furth, University of California Press, 1964, pp. xxxii-xxxiii).
3 Here and throughout the present edition, the quantifying tout is rendered as 'all'. While this usage conforms to a long-standing tradition of Aristotelian term logic in English, it may be remarked that Robin Smith asserted (drawing on Peter T. Geach in Logic Matters, Oxford: Blackwell, 1972, p. 69) that translating $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \varepsilon \iota v \pi \alpha v \tau i$ as 'belongs to all' is an 'unnecessary barbarism', with the plural form, 'belongs to every', being more advisable ('Preface' to Prior Analytics, Indianapolis: Hackett, 1989, p. ix). This opinion is counter to that of W. and M. Kneale in their 1962 book The Development of Logic (Oxford University Press) who assert: 'in some modern versions of Aristotle's doctrine the difficulties of his account of opposition are unnecessarily aggravated by use of examples and formulae in the plural' (p. 61). Recent translators and commentators have tended to maintain the use of 'all', foremost among them Gisela Striker in her Aristotle's Prior Analytics, Book I, Oxford University Press, 2009; see also M. Malink's note on 'Every and all' from his chapter on 'The Orthodox dictum Semantics' in Aristotle's Modal Syllogistic, Harvard University Press, 2013, pp. 55-6. Lacan
employs extensively the four French declensions: tout (masculine singular), toute (feminine singular), tous (masculine plural), and toutes (feminine plural), which in this edition have on most occasions been reproduced alongside the uninflected 'all'. It should be noted, however, that the distinction between toute and toutes is inaudible in spoken French, and thus in the absence of an article or other quantifying grammatical feature there is transcriptive uncertainty in some instances.
4 In § 11 on 'Die Allgemeinheit' from the Begriffsschrift, Frege writes of inserting eine Höhlung in the Inhaltsstriche, which Geach translates as a 'concavity in the content-stroke' (Translations from the Philosophical Writings of Gottlob Frege, second edition, Oxford: Blackwell, 1960, p. 16). Stefan Bauer-Mengelberg's 1967 translation (in van Heijenoort, J. (ed.), From Frege to Gödel, op. cit., p. 24) follows suit, as does Michael Beaney's 1997 translation (in The Frege Reader, Oxford: Blackwell, p. 69).

5 Originally coined by Molière in Le Médecin malgré lui (Act I, Scene 6), the phrase il y a fagots et fagots has passed into common idiomatic use in French to mean 'every alike is not the same'. The French fagot corresponds to its English-language cognate, signifying a bundle of twigs or small branches.
6 The French terms are la canaillerie and la bêtise, but the allusion is most probably to the distinction drawn in Seminar VII where Lacan reproduces the English vocabulary, referencing the tradition that reaches from Chaucer through to Elizabethan theatre. There, Lacan translates 'knave/knavery' as canaille/canaillerie, while 'fool' is rendered variously as sot, innocent, and demeuré.
7 The term was first coined at the end of the lesson of 19 May 1971. The Seuil edition transcribes as hommoinzin (Le séminaire livre XVIII, D'un discours qui ne serait pas du semblant, Paris: Seuil, 2006, p. 144). In 'L'étourdit' (Autres écrits, Seuil: Paris, 2001, p. 479) the spelling is hommoinsun. In the lesson of 9 June 1971, three possible forms are stipulated: au moins un ('at least one'); hommoinzin, punning on homme or homo; and a ( u moins un), highlighting the function of the object a (Livre XVIII, ibid., p. 153). Here in this opening lesson, Lacan pronounces the term in a way that suggests a terminal ' $e$ ' indicative of feminine declension (to accord with the feminine erreur).
8 Seriner is to repeat something incessantly, or to drum something into someone, as one might train a canary to sing. In their entry on serin, Bloch and von Wartburg trace the verb to 1812. Further to the avian signification, a serin in French is also a 'dimwit' or 'silly billy' (since 1821).

9 Damourette and Pichon list these forms of post-verbal negation, of which the latter two are rather antiquated, as examples of the negation that forecloses, in contrast to the 'discordential' negation represented by the pre-verbal ne. The special quality of these words is that they can be associated with ne to function as adverbs, producing a negative signification. (When the respective meanings of the latter three terms are rendered approximately in English as 'not a jot', 'not a drop', and 'not a speck', this special quality is lost, since the negation 'not' has been appended to the noun as it could be in any other negative construction.)

Cf. Des mots à la pensée: Essai de grammaire de la langue française, Vol. I (1911-1927), d'Artrey, chapter 7.

## Chapter II The Function $\boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{x}$

1 Hi-han is the French onomatopoeia for a donkey's bray, equivalent to 'hee-haw' in English. An appât is 'bait' or a 'lure'. Hi-han appât, or hihanappat as Lacan will write it in the second paragraph of 'Joyce le Symptôme' (Autres écrits, op. cit., p. 565), is loosely homophonic with the informal $Y$ en a pas, 'there is / are none'.
2 Lacan says, au niveau du mythe d'Edipe, 'at the level of the Oedipus myth'. The present edition respects the alteration introduced in the Seuil edition.

## Chapter III From Anecdote to Logic

1 Several French authors had used the title Traité de logique for their tracts on logic: Philibert Damiron in 1836 (third volume of his Cours de philosophie); Joseph Duval-Jouve in 1843; Edmond Goblot in 1918; Jules Tricot in 1928 (Traité de logique formelle); and Charles Serrus in 1945.
2 August Weismann used the Latinate soma, but not germen which was chiefly an intervention of French translators and commentators to refer to Keimplasma, 'germ-plasm' (occasionally 'germinative plasma' in English), the substance of Keimzellen, 'germ cells'.
3 Frege refers to the blackletter $\mathfrak{a}$ that he uses to denote the bound variable in the Höhlung as a deutschen Buchstaben. Like much of the symbolic apparatus of the Begriffsschrift, the convention was not retained by the more influential authors who adopted and expanded its notational principles.
4 As in the previous chapter, Lacan's reference to le mythe de l'Edipe is altered in the Seuil edition to Totem et Tabou.
5 The opening of this lesson was disturbed by a tirade from a member of the public.

## Chapter IV From Necessity to Inexistence

1 Here, and seven paragraphs below, nécessité de discours might also be rendered as: 'necessitated by discourse'.
2 In modern usage, the French adjective grotesque extends wider than its English cognate to encompass the ludicrous, the ridiculous, and the preposterous.
3 The ambiguity is of course stronger in French (un désir d'enfant), since in English one would speak rather in terms of 'a desire for a child' and 'a child's desire'. Cf. the bilingual title of Wladimir Granoff's 1955 article in French, 'Desire for Children, Children's Desire: Un désir d'enfant', in La psychanalyse 2: 75-110, and Lacan's mention of the same in Book VIII of the Seminar (Paris: Seuil, revised edition 2001, p. 147).

4 Frege quotes Leibniz from the 1840 Johann Eduard Erdmann edition of Book IV of the Nouveaux Essais sur l'Entendement Humain (Ch. vii, § 10, p. 363), in Godhofredi Guillelmi Leibnitii Opera philosophica. Whereas Leibniz, in his definitions, spells out each number in roman letters, Frege uses arabic numerals (Frege, G., The Foundations of Arithmetic, translated by J. L. Austin, London: Blackwell \& Mott, 1953 revised edition, § 6, p. 7).
5 On the Englishing of Frege's coinage Gleichzahlig, see Austin's footnote to § 68 of The Foundations (ibid., p. 79).
6 Austin translates "Unter den Begriff "gleich 0 aber nicht gleich 0 " fällt [. . .] kein Gegenstand' (§ 77), as 'under the concept "identical with 0 but not identical with $0 "$ [. . .] no object falls’ (ibid., p. 90).
7 Lacan has arranged the arithmetical triangle (see page 27) into an upper triangular matrix, as did Pascal himself. In this arrangement, the column 1, 3, 3, 1 corresponds to the subsets of the elements of a triad, i.e. 1 figure, 3 (monadic) vertices, 3 (dyadic) edges, and 1 (triadic) face. Having established the number of edges, it is then possible to read the horizontal row $1,3,6,10,15 \ldots$ as the triangular numbers, i.e. the number of objects that can be arranged into a triangle, thus: a minimal triangle of 3 discrete objects is configured of a base of 2 and an apex of 1 ; then, a larger triangle of 6 is configured, with a base of 3 added to the previous 3 ; followed by a triangle of 10 , with a base of 4 added to the previous 6 ; and so on. The next column, $1,4,6,4,1$, corresponds to the subsets of a tetrad, i.e. 1 figure, 4 (monadic) vertices, 6 (dyadic) edges, 4 (triadic) faces, and 1 tetrad. Having established the number of faces, it is then possible to read the row $1,4,10,20 \ldots$ as the tetrahedral numbers, i.e. the number of objects that can be arranged into a tetrahedron, thus: a minimal tetrahedral of 4 discrete objects is configured of a base of 3 and an apex of 1; then, a larger tetrahedron of 10 is configured, with a base of 6 added to the previous 4 ; and so on. Note that the additional 'base' level of each new tetrahedral is derived from the triangular number that stands one position to the left in the row immediately above, just as the additional 'base' level of each new triangle is derived from the linear number that stands one space to the left in the row immediately above. The arithmetical triangle can be used to generate infinitely larger figurate numbers, beginning at the next level with the pentatope numbers.
8 At no point in the Seminar does Lacan enumerate the figurate numbers. However, the stenographer's typescript inserts the opening sequence of dyadic numbers, then the opening sequence of pentatope numbers, then the opening sequence of tetrahedral numbers, and finally the opening sequence of triadic numbers, in an apparent attempt to parse this and the previous paragraphs. The Seuil edition reproduces these sequences on pp. 59-60.

## Chapter V Topology of Speech ( A Talk at Sainte-Anne)

1 Isidore of Seville groups such terms in the category of 'medial (medius) nouns', and also writes of 'mongrel' (nothus) terms with corrupted (i.e.
inter-lingual) suffixes. See entry vii. 13 in Book I of The Etymologies of Isidore of Seville, translated by S. A. Barney, W. J. Lewis, J. A. Beach, and O. Berghof, Cambridge University Press, 2006, p. 43.
2 The French reads: . . . parce que je ne pédale normalement. The verb pédaler carries the same primary signification as the English 'to pedal'. The noun pédale is sometimes used, informally and vulgarly, to label a male homosexual, and Lacan appears to be playing on this meaning here. The sentence that follows could be understood as reasserting the primary sense of the verb.
3 The French verb causer also means 'to talk' or 'to converse'. Thus, nous sommes causés par notre propre bla-bla-bla could be rendered as 'we are spoken by our own blather'.
4 In his previous talk at Sainte-Anne, on 6 January, Lacan gave himself over to some extemporized punning on (a)mur, a condensation of mur ('wall'), amour ('love'), and the object $a$ (see Talking to Brick Walls, Cambridge: Polity, 2017, p. 98). The opening comments from the present session, included in the appendix to Talking to Brick Walls, explore the theme of 'the love letter/the letter on the wall' in relation to the story of the writing on the wall at Belshazzar's feast (ibid., pp. 108-9).

## Chapter VI I Ask You to Refuse Me My Offering

1 Lacan offers no commentary on these characters. Reading right to left, they transliterate to Pinyin as: qing (please/invite/ask) jù shōu (refuse/ reject) wǒ (I/me) zèng (offer/give) / gài (ancient form: because/probably/ indeed) fēi (fusion of negative particle and copula: not be) yě (emphasis: thus/indeed not). The text is therefore a partial equivalent to je te demande de me refuser ce que je t'offre, parce que c'est pas ça; partial in that any analogues of $t e$ and ce que have been omitted. Although any source remains unidentified, the zōngpái script and the Old Chinese signification of 蓋 suggest a citation from an ancient text. The characters are missing from the Seuil edition (cf. Jacques-Alain Miller's response to a query on this matter in Lacan Quotidien 6 (25 August 2011): 6-7).
2 The French word conférence would in similar contexts translate fairly straightforwardly into English as 'lecture', but Lacan's ensuing comments seem to comprehend the more formal and antiquated use that links directly to the etymological root of conferring, conversing, consulting, and deliberating (from the Latin conferre). This signification coincides largely with the English 'conference'.
3 The Dictionnaire universel d'histoire et de géographie includes, as one would expect, an entry on Anicius Manlius Severinus Boëthius.
4 The French ache as in ' h ' is homophonic with hache as in 'axe', thus the full sentence is homophonic with: 'Don't touch the axe'. For the bibliographic reference, see p. 227.
5 According to the rules of accordance for the passé composé in French, the past participle respects the gendered attribute of the complément d'objet direct, the pronoun that is directly attached to the verb. Thus, in this case, assuming the addressee denoted by $t$ ' to be female, the close of
the declaration should read: . . . combien je t'ai aimée. The full sentence could be rendered as: ‘you shall never know how much I loved you'.
6 Lacan uses a lower-case d for destinateur and a capital D for destinataire. Jakobson's vocabulary is sometimes rendered in English, including by Jakobson himself, using Addresser and Addressee.
7 Lacan expressly conflates the D of Demand with the D for destinataire in the triangle diagram. It should also be borne in mind that the French demande, especially when preceded, as here, by the indefinite article, also translates as 'request'. Thus, the form rendered here as 'I ask you . . ' is, in French, je te demande . . .
8 Up to this point, Lacan's audience would in all likelihood have understood Je te demande de me refuser ce que je t'offre as 'I ask you to reject what I'm offering you', i.e. with the relative pronoun ce que standing in for a noun, and offre functioning in the present indicative tense. Here, Lacan reiterates that he entertains a different meaning, with ce que being essentially reducible to the conjunction que, and offre functioning as what he calls a substantif verbal. In the present translation, an analogous ambiguity is rendered by means of the alternation between 'offering' as a noun and 'offering' as a gerund. This has led to the suppression of Lacan's remark, in this same sentence, that the verbal substantive is 'purported to be a lesser substantive, but is nevertheless quite something'. This remark comes in echo of the earlier comment concerning the dubious classificatory distinction between 'concrete substantives' and others.
9 Je te bouffe could also mean something like 'I'm biting your head off' or 'I'm eating you alive'.
10 Again, ce que tu désires que je te demande might initially suggest 'what you want me to ask you'.

## Chapter VII The Vanished Partner (A Talk at Sainte-Anne)

1 First coined in the early twentieth century, the term partouze (also spelt partouse) refers to group sex, in a similar colloquial register to 'gang bang' or 'orgy'. While the term resembles partout, 'everywhere', it is derived from partie, as in a partie de cartes (a game or a round of cards) and the argotic suffix -ouse, which is used to form nouns.
2 The aitch added to Autre introduces an aspect of haughtiness or elevation. With a French pronunciation, Hun is identical to Un ('One') prefixed by a voiced aitch. Lacan had previously used Hun in the written text 'Lituraterre' of the year before, in his coinage Hun-en-peluce. Cf. 'Lituraterre' in Hurly-Burly 9: 34.
3 Lacan is turning sa femme, 'his wife', into a verb. The $s$ followed by the apostrophe indicates a reflexive form, reminiscent of s'affamer, 'to starve oneself', or perhaps even s'affirmer, 'to affirm oneself'.
4 Lacan says, les aventures mythiques d'Edipe, 'the mythical adventures of Oedipus'. The Seuil edition alters to les aventures mythiques du Père primitif, which is respected in the present edition. See Chapter II, endnote 2, and Chapter III, endnote 4.
5 Type désespéré could also be a 'hopeless guy'.

6 In the Baxter-Sagart system, the Old Chinese pronunciation is notated $/ * \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a} /$; in the Zhengzhang system, /*ta:/.
7 Lacan says nous continuons à nous entretenir, which is at once an allusion to the entretien format of his presentations at Sainte-Anne and a jocular acknowledgement of their aspect of 'getting in shape' as far as formal logic is concerned.

## Chapter VIII What is Involved in the Other

1 This remark features at the start of what is most commonly classified as the fifth argument or 'hypothesis', not the seventh. Lacan is drawing on the Auguste Diès translation ('. . . si le non-Un n'est pas?' in the 1923 Budé edition of Plato's Euvres complètes, Vol. VIII). For $\varepsilon i \not \mu \grave{\eta}$ êv $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ér $\tau \iota v$ (160b6), F. M. Cornford takes issue with the Diès rendering, and tries 'if a not-one (no thing) does not exist' (Plato and Parmenides, Routledge \& Kegan Paul, 1939, p. 219); but is in turn challenged by R. E. Allen (Plato's Parmenides, Yale University Press, 1997, revised edition, pp. 329-30), who offers, 'if not unity is not' (p. 55). R. S. Brumbaugh gives 'if it is not the case that the one is not' (Plato on the One, Yale University Press, 1961, p. 165); while S. Scolnicov gives: 'if the not-one is not' (Plato's Parmenides, University of California Press, 2001, p. 148). See also the formalization by S. C. Rickless in Plato's Forms in Transition, Cambridge University Press, 2006, pp. 212-13, D5AI; and the comparison of the Diès and Cornford renderings by D. O'Brien in 'Einai copulatif et existentiel dans le Parménide de Platon', in Revue des Études Grecques, Vol. 118, 2005, §X, pp. 236-7.
2 The Seuil edition inserts parmi les réalistes to resolve an anacoluthon in the typescript. Lacan says only: C'est en ça que je me classe . . .
3 The French face and fasse are homophones. Fasse is the present subjunctive of faire in the third-person singular.
4 The pun is stronger in French: l'ontologie est une honte. For a more intricate extension of the same pun, see p. 426 of 'Radiophonie' in Autres écrits, op. cit.
5 There is likely a paranomasia here exploiting the signification of un savon as 'a telling-off' or 'a reprimand'.
6 Again, the Seuil edition, which is here respected, resolves an apparent anacoluthon. Lacan says: Ce qui veut dire qu'on ne peut pas écrire que ce qui y fait obstacle, à savoir la fonction phallique, ne soit pas vrai. ['This means that you cannot write that what forms an obstacle here, namely the phallic function, is untrue.']
7 Lacan plays on the proximity between Autre and entre to transform entreposer ('to store' or 'to stock') into Autreposer. In this coinage, one can also hear Autre-poser, 'to Alter-posit', or 'to posit Otherwise'.

## Chapter IX In the Field of the Unian

1 The written form Yad'lun is an attempt to capture the concentrated pronunciation of $Y$ a de l'Un, itself an informal contraction of Il ya
de l'Un. Thus, not only does the content of the expression indicate the prominence of the One, but its very form presents as a unitary element. Compare the orthography here with that on p .215 of the written report in the appendix.
2 Lacan is again drawing on the Diès translation, which here gives s'il est
 One' (op. cit., p. 116). O'Brien concludes that a systematic comparison of all the occurrences formulated in the second part of the Parmenides confirms Cornford's translation of the verb in an existential sense, and disqualifies the Diès reading as a copulative verb (op. cit., p. 245). See also the discussion by C. C. Meinwald in Plato's Parmenides, Oxford University Press, 1991, pp. 40-5. Brumbaugh gives 'if one is' (op. cit., p. 55); Scolnicov gives 'if the one is' (op. cit. pp. 78, 80); as does Rickless (op. cit., pp. 106-11, 114 (D1AI)). Allen, meanwhile, gives 'if Unity is' (op. cit., p. 17). A further likely source for Lacan's discussion is F. Regnault's 1968 article from the Cahiers pour l'analyse, recently translated by K. Peden and P. Hallward as 'Dialectic of Epistemologies', in Concept and Form, Vol. I, Selections from the Cahiers pour l'analyse, Verso, 2012, pp. 119-50.
3 Whereas in English it has become customary to distinguish orthographically between Aristoteles (of The Four Hundred and of The Thirty Tyrants) and Aristotle (of Stageira), French uses the single proper name Aristote for both instances of Apıototé $\lambda \eta$. Some commentators in English have, however, preferred 'Aristotle' for the youth in the Parmenides, notably Meinwald (op. cit.) and Rickless (op. cit.).
4 For $\dot{\alpha} \delta 0 \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \chi i \alpha \varsigma$ (135d4), Cornford gives 'idle talk' (op. cit., p. 103), as do Allen (op. cit., pp. 15, 207) and Scolnicov (op. cit., p. 74). Cf. Brumbaugh, op. cit., p. 22.
5 L'essence-maître and l'essence-esclave are Diès's renderings of ó éotı
 Master' and 'the essential Slave' (op. cit., p. 96); Allen gives 'what it is to be a master' and 'what it is to be a slave'; Scolnicov gives 'that which is master' and 'that which is slave' (op. cit., p. 70); Rickless gives 'what a master is' and 'what a slave is' (op. cit., p. 87).
6 Probably an allusion to Deuteronomy 15:12-18, though perhaps also to Genesis 37:27-8, and/or to Exodus 21:2-11, 20-1. Compare, however, Leviticus 25:39-55.
7 The Seuil edition introduces a modification here by inserting the general formula for computing the number of 2-element subsets in an $n$-element set ( $n$-choose-2) in place of Lacan's formula that places $n(n-1)$ as the numerator and 2 as the denominator. Lacan's slip, saying 'seven times eight is forty-two', has also been emended (see the note on p. 229).
8 The stenographer's typescript, which is the source text for the Seuil edition, gives que l'Un ne sache être comme être, but no such ne is audible on the audio recording. Cf. Parmenides, 160c7-d1: $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau o v ~ \mu \varepsilon े v$

 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ हĩvol, кגì ö́tı $\delta i \alpha ́ \varphi o \rho o v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega v$.
9 Cf. Parmenides, 160b5, passim.

10 For $\tau \grave{~} \varepsilon \xi{ }^{\xi} \alpha i \varphi v \eta \varsigma$ (156d3), Cornford gives 'the instant' (op. cit., p. 200), as do Brumbaugh (op. cit., p. 148), Allen (op. cit., p. 49), Scolnicov (op. cit., p. 137), and Rickless (op. cit., p. 193).

## Chapter X Yad'lun

1 This mention of the ahistorical character of the Parmenides may indicate that, despite the reservations expressed in the previous lesson, Lacan nevertheless held the youth Aplototéinc to be the same as Aristotle the philosopher. Alternatively, this may be an allusion to the long-held notion (from Athenaeus of Naucratis down to Eduard Zeller) that the meeting between Parmenides and Socrates must be a 'poetic fiction'. Compare, however, T. L. Heath, Aristarchus of Samos, the Ancient Copernicus, Cambridge University Press, 1913, pp. 62-3, footnote 4.
 by Victor Cousin (CEuvres de Platon, Vol. XII, Paris: Rey, 1846, p. 28). Diès gives 'si l'Un doit être un' (op. cit., p. 23), which is endorsed by Regnault ('Dialectique d'épistémologies', Cahiers pour l'analyse 9: 53). Cornford gives 'if the One is to be one' (op. cit., p. 116); Scolnicov gives 'if the one is to be one' (op. cit., p. 80); and Allen gives 'if unity is to be one' (op. cit., p. 17). Cf. Rickless (op. cit., p. 108).
3 For ov̉ $\delta \alpha \mu o \tilde{v} \not \partial ้ v ~ \varepsilon i ́ \eta ~(138 a 2) ~ a n d ~ \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau i ́ v ~ \pi o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̌ v ~(138 b 5), ~ C o r n f o r d ~ g i v e s ~$ 'it cannot be anywhere' and 'the One is not anywhere' (op. cit., p. 119). Brumbaugh gives 'the one [...] will be nowhere' and 'the one is not anywhere' (op. cit., pp. 62, 64); Allen gives 'it would be nowhere' and 'unity is nowhere' (op. cit., p. 18); Scolnicov gives 'it would be nowhere' and 'the one is not anywhere' (op. cit., p. 82). See also Rickless (op. cit., pp. 117-18, DIAO).
 be encompassed all round by that in which it was contained' (op. cit., p. 119). Brumbaugh gives 'it would be encircled by that in which it was' (op. cit., p. 62); Allen gives 'it would be contained in a circle by what it was in' (op. cit., p. 18, and footnote 65 on p. 231, which takes issue with Cornford); and Scolnicov gives 'it would somehow be encompassed round by that in which it would be' (op. cit., p. 82).
5 For $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \iota \rho o v \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ đò $\varepsilon ้ v$ (137d7), Cornford gives 'it is without limits' (op. cit., p. 118). Brumbaugh gives 'the one is unlimited' (op. cit., p. 59); Allen gives 'unity is unlimited' (op. cit., p. 17); and Scolnicov gives 'the one is unlimited' (op. cit., p. 81) and 'the one is undelimited' (pp. 81-2). See also Rickless (op. cit., pp. 115-16, DIA4).
6 W. B. Ewald translates 'das Uneigentlich-unendliches' (Grundlagen einer allgemeinen Mannichfaltigkeitslehre, Leipzig: Teubner, 1883, p. 166) as 'the improper infinite' ('Foundations of a General Theory of Manifolds', in From Kant to Hilbert: A Source Book in the Foundations of Mathematics, Vol. II, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996, p. 882). G. Chaitkin prefers 'non-genuine-Infinite' ('On the Theory of the Transfinite; Correspondence of Georg Cantor and Cardinal J. B. Franzelin (1885-1886)', in Fidelio 3(3): 101, 103).

7 In 'Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre', Cantor uses the terms ""Teil" oder "Teilmenge"' (1895 version of 'Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre’, § 1, in Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Berlin: Springer, 1932, p. 282), which P. E. B. Jourdain renders as ""part" or "partial aggregate"" (Contributions to the Founding of the Theory of Transfinite Numbers, London: Open Court, 1915, p. 86). As Lacan notes in the following chapter, the former vocabulary of 'aggregates' and 'parts' has since been superseded by that of 'sets' and 'subsets'. His pertinacious use of 'part' may be intended to evoke the Greek $\mu$ ह́oos, used in Euclid's Elements (VII, $g^{\prime}-d^{\prime}$ ), and in the Parmenides (153d7-8); a coincidence noted by Diès and, previously, by Sir Thomas Heath (A History of Greek Mathematics, Vol. I, Oxford: Clarendon, 1921, p. 294).

## Chapter XI An Issue of Ones (A Talk at Sainte-Anne)

1 The allusion is to the verb phrase faire avec les moyens du bord, which may be rendered as 'to make do with what is to hand' or '. . . with what is lying around'. When voicing his nonce word bord-homme, Lacan does not make a liaison 'd' at the end of bord ('rim' or 'frontier'), thus producing a phone that resembles the first two syllables of borroméen.
2 The neologism is not Lacan's own. The spelling in the Seuil edition, hénologie, matches the orthography that has come to dominate since Leo S. J. Sweeney first set down 'henology' in 1961 ('Basic Principles in Plotinus' Philosophy', in Gregorianum 42:510). However, Étienne Gilson had previously coined énologie in 1948 (L'être et l'essence, Paris: Vrin, p. 42).
3 Lacan's s'éventailler is a neologism in French. An éventail is a 'fan'. The closest verb form is tailler ('to trim', 'to prune', 'to chisel', etc.).
4 An Auvergnate is an inhabitant of the Auvergne. Cf. Alfred Delvau's jocular Dictionnaire érotique moderne (Brussels, 1864): 'AUVERGNATE. Qui appartient au troisième sexe - puisqu'elle n'est pas homme et ne veut pas être femme.'
5 Conveniently, the English verb 'to found' carries both the sense of 'to establish' and 'to melt'. See also Chapter XIII, endnote 1.
6 For 'Unter einer "Menge" verstehen wir jede Zusammenfassung $M$ von bestimmten wohlunterschiedenen Objekten $m$ unsrer Anschauung oder unseres Denkens [. . .] zu einem Ganzen' ('Beiträge zur Begründung der transfiniten Mengenlehre', op. cit., §1, p. 282), P. E. B. Jourdain gives: 'By an "aggregate" we are to understand any collection into a whole M of definite and separate objects $m$ of our intuition or our thought' (Contributions to the Founding of the Theory of Transfinite Numbers, op. cit., p. 85).
7 That is, the pentahedroid, or 5-cell $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5}\right)$, this being the object that corresponds to the pentatope numbers in the arithmetical triangle (see Ch . IV, endnote 7, above). On the audio recording (the apparent source for the stenographer's typescript), there is a hiatus just before this sentence, which may account for the sudden skip from the columns for cardinals 3 and 4 to the column for cardinal 5.

8 Lacan uses distinct and défini for Cantor's bestimmten wohlunterschiedenen (see endnote 6 to this chapter).

## Chapter XII Knowledge about Truth

1 Lacan says: Voilà comment de toujours on aurait appelé ça. L'est-ce? point d'interrogation. Even in stipulating the question mark, the juxtaposition of $C \subset a$ and $E s$ - the French translation and the German source of the term that James Strachey translated as the $I d$ - is particularly striking.
2 This is a probable reference to the Mouvement de libération des femmes, which held its first public meeting in 1970. It grew out of the Mouvement démocratique féminin. It is unlikely that Lacan's term modèles-modèles is an allusion to fashion models (more commonly mannequins in French).
3 Though the term 'creative subject' abounds in the mathematical literature, 'creating subject' is a more loyal translation of L. E. J. Brouwer's scheppende subject.
4 Lacan likely intends $b c$ instead of $b a$, since the four partitions of the three-element set $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ are: $\{\mathrm{ab} / \mathrm{c}\},\{\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{bc}\},\{\mathrm{ac} / \mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{abc}\}$. These could be arranged as follows:


## Chapter XIII The Founding of Sexual Difference

1 See Chapter XI, endnote 5.
2 La bourgeoise carries the same indecorous and disparaging tonality as 'her indoors' or 'the missus', but with the further connotation of 'she who rules the roost'.
3 'Il court, il court, le furet' ['He runs, he runs, the ferret'] is the title of a children's song (transcribed in 1870 by Jean-Baptiste Weckerlin), sung during 'Pass the parcel' and other party games. The title refrain, or the tune of the refrain, is often used independently of the song to insinuate that someone is being made to hunt for something, or is being given the runaround. Cf. Lacan's allusion to the jeu du furet, the 'hunt-the-ring' parlour game, in Talking to Brick Walls, op. cit., p. 99.
4 Similar use of such reflexive forms as se penser, se dire and, below, s'articuler is often translated into English as a passive construction ('to be thought', 'to be said', etc.) on the grounds that the grammatical subject does not hold grammatical agency. Here, however, there is a firm attribution of grammatical agency to the $C ̧ a$ and to the One.
5 As above, the signifier \dø might equally be transcribed: d'eux.

6 According to some transcripts, this sentence had been written up on the blackboard at the start of the lesson.

## Chapter XIV Theory of the Four Formulae (A Talk at Sainte-Anne)

1 Lacan is punning on the expression séparer le bon grain de l'ivrai, 'to sort the wheat from the chaff'.
2 The French pronunciation of saints is homophonic with seins, 'breasts'.
3 Concerning the English terms that correspond to canaillerie and bêtise, see Chapter I, endnote 6.
4 Another pun, this time on the two senses of fini: both 'finished' and 'finite'.
5 On the audio recording, this sentence is interrupted midway by the first of several barrages of bangers being let off outside the chapel. This is the disturbance to which Lacan alludes at the end of the talk (p. 184) and at the start of the following chapter (p. 189).
6 C'est un repère perhaps contains a pun on père, 'father'.
7 Lacan says l'homme with a definite article, but femme with no article. What is here rendered as 'man' might also be understood as 'mankind'.
8 This may be an allusion to the Parmenides (139c 8). Although Diès translates $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\varrho}$ as 'par lui-même', Alexandre Kojève coins 'l'Un-tout-seul' specifically in reference to this passage (Essai d'une historie raisonnée de la philosophie païenne, Vol. I, Paris: Gallimard, 1968, p. 212). See also Lacan's footnote to his 'Report', on page 218 below.
9 Here and in the following paragraph, Lacan's reference to l'EEdipe is altered in the Seuil edition to Totem et Tabou, as it was in Chapters II, III, and VII.
10 The term femme virile is used in French when the term 'mannish woman' might be used in English.
11 Here Lacan is punning on the homophonic coincidence in French between the stem vir-and conjugations of the verb virer, 'to veer'. There is no shared etymology. Parer $\dot{a}$ virer is a command used in a nautical context: 'prepare to go about!'
12 As above, mythe d'Edipe is altered in the Seuil edition to mythe de Totem et Tabou.
13 This diagram is a considerably modified version of the one recorded in the stenographer's original typescript, reproduced with slight alteration on page 207 of the Seuil edition. The typescript carries a warning that the accuracy of the diagram as there recorded is uncertain.

## Chapter XV The Desire to Sleep

1 For immixtion des sujets (Le séminaire de Jacques Lacan, livre II, Paris: Seuil, pp. 192, 228; Écrits, Paris: Seuil, 1966, p. 16), S. Tomaselli gives 'inmixing (sic) of subjects' (The Seminar of Jacques Lacan, Book II, Cambridge University Press, 1988, pp. 160, 194) as does B. Fink (Écrits in English, New York: Norton, 2006, p. 10). See, too, R. Grigg's
footnote on page 193 of The Seminar of Jacques Lacan, Book III, Norton/Routledge, 1993.
2 The exclamation from the man in the audience is Une pollution! The indefinite article indicates that he is denoting a pollution nocturne, a 'nocturnal emission', in jocular response to the preceding discussion on sleep. In the present translation, the signifier 'pollution' is favoured due to Lacan's ensuing response.

## Chapter XVI Bodies Captured by Discourse

1 Lacan here employs one of his favourite locutions, Ce n'est pas [. . .] ce qu'un vain peuple pense, which derives from Voltaire's Edipe, Act IV Scene 1: 'Nos prêtres ne sont pas ce qu'un vain peuple pense'. The line was rendered by Thomas Francklin in 1761 as 'These priests are not what the vile rabble think them'.
2 Mémorisé is pronounced syllable by syllable in a way that suggests mes mots risée, 'my words, a laughingstock'.
3 Cf. R. Grigg's note on astudé on page 9 of his translation of Book XVII (Norton, 2007). The rendering 'astudied' appears on page 105 of the same. The prefixed ' $a$ ' may function both as a privative and, crucially, as object $a$, the student holding the position of the $a$ in Lacan's formalization of the university discourse. The verbal pun is on étudié, which means 'studied' in the sense of 'well thought out' or 'carefully considered', and enseigné, 'taught'. There is a further overtone of astreinte, 'obligation' or 'constraint', as Lacan observes in his intervention of 19 April 1970 (Lettres de l'École Freudienne 8: 211).
4 Respecting the orthography adopted in the Seuil edition, à-former could be rendered as 'for training'. Note, however, that the homophonic $a$-formés is also plausible: 'a-formed' or 'a-shaped'.
5 En corps ('in body') is homophonic with encore, 'again', 'still' or 'more'.
6 For the correct date, see the previous chapter (p. 192) and the 'Library to Seminar XIX' (p. 231).
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ \alpha o ̀ v ~ \alpha ̛ v \theta \rho \omega \pi o v ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \psi v \chi \tilde{\eta}$ (De anima, I, 4, 408b 13-15), R. D. Hicks gives 'Doubtless it would be better not to say that the soul pities or learns or thinks, but that the man does so with the soul (De anima, Cambridge University Press, 1907, p. 33); more paraphrastically, Walter Stanley Hett gives 'Probably it is better not to say that the soul pities, or learns, or thinks, but to say rather that the soul is the instrument whereby man does these things' ('On The Soul' in Aristotle in Twenty-Three Volumes, Vol. VIII, Loeb, 1953, p. 47); D.W. Hamlyn gives, 'For it is surely better not to say that the soul pities, learns, or thinks, but that the man does these with his soul' (Aristotle's De Anima, Book I, Oxford: Clarendon, 1968); Ronald Polansky gives, somewhat equivocally, 'It is better perhaps not to say the soul pities or learns or thinks, but the human being due to the soul' (Aristotle's De Anima: A Critical Commentary, Cambridge University Press, 2007, p. 113); while more recently Christopher Shields has given the limpid rendering, 'For
it is perhaps better not to say that the soul pities or thinks, but that the human being does these things with the soul' (De anima, Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2016, p. 14). In his Commentary, Shields (p. 144), unpacks the two possible readings of $\tau \tilde{\eta} \psi v \chi \tilde{\eta}$ as i. 'with the soul' or ii. 'in virtue of his having a soul' / 'by means of having a soul', further noting that Jonathan Barnes prefers the latter (cf. 1972, 'Aristotle's Concept of Mind' in Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, 72:101-114) which he rejects in favour of the former (referring the reader to his 1988 article, 'Soul as Subject in Aristotle's De anima' in Classical Quarterly 38: 140-9). Lacan first uses the paraphrastic formula 'l'homme pense avec son âme' in the opening lesson of Seminar III.

## Appendices: Library to Seminar XIX (Jacques-Alain Miller)

1 Reading l'incommensurabilité de la diagonale du carré for l'incommunicabilité de la diagonale du carré (p. 246 of the Seuil edition).
2 Lacan punned on l' $(a) m u r$ and $a$-murs-ement at the end of the entretien of 6 January 1972 (Talking to Brick Walls, op. cit., p. 98). 'Lettre d'amur' is from the opening section of this same entretien (3 February 1972), which is included as an appendix to Talking to Brick Walls (ibid., pp. 108-9). See above, Chapter V, endnote 4.
3 Miller inverts the husband and wife: in Lacan's example, 'sa femme le frictionne' (Écrits, p. 827).
4 While Gödel's 1944 paper contains some discussion of the theory of integers and transfinite orders (cf. pp. 134-6), the remark that more closely matches Lacan's comment is the third note defining technical terms in the 1947 paper 'What is Cantor's Continuum Problem?' (Collected Works, Vol. II, op. cit., pp. 186-7; revised in the 1964 reprint, pp. 264-5).
5 The Seminar of 1954-5, which includes the commentary on the dream of Irma's injection, is Séminaire II (sessions of 9 and 16 March), translated by Sylvana Tomaselli as The Ego in Freud's Theory and in the Technique of Psychoanalysis (Cambridge University Press, 1988).
6 Eco's character 'Dr Wagner' seems to be loosely based on Lacan.
7 Lacan mistakenly attributes the painting to Brueghel. This is emended in the Seuil edition (p. 223), which includes a reproduction of the 1502 panel (reversed right to left).
8 Judging by Lacan's description, he had also seen works from the Concetto spaziale, New York series (1962), which are executed on copper plates. The Seuil edition includes a reproduction of a 1968 canvas from the Concetto spaziale, Attese series.

